Parks and Recreation Advisory Board Agenda

PARKS AND RECREATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
WILL BE HELD ON JUNE 18, 2020 AT 6:00 P.M.

The health, safety and well-being of our residents and businesses are a top priority for the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board and Parks and Recreation Leadership. As such, and consistent with and in support of the direction from Gov. Michelle Lujan Grisham and the New Mexico Department of Health public health order, the Special Parks and Recreation Advisory Board Meeting will be held via Zoom. View meeting live on youtube.com/clctv20.

In an effort to seek public input, we ask that if you have comments/concerns/questions, please e-mail: parksandrecadmin@las-cruces.org

1. Introductions
   1.1. Parks and Recreation Advisory Board Members
   1.2. Parks and Recreation Staff

2. Conflict of Interest

   At the opening of each meeting, the chairperson shall ask if any member on the Board or City staff has any known conflict of interest with any item on the agenda.

3. Approval of Agenda

4. Approval of Minutes

   Documents:

   PRAB02202020.PDF

5. Public Participation

6. Action Items

   6.1. East Mesa Recreation Complex - Tony Trevino, Interim Deputy Director/Public Works / Wilson/Sites Southwest (George Radnovich)

   Documents:

   EMRC PRAB MTG - 6-12-20.PDF

   6.2. Parks & Sports Courts Improvements - Tony Trevino, Interim Deputy Director/Public Works / Highland Enterprises/SMA (Paul Dugie)
6.3. Board Elections - Dr. Robert Harrison, Board Chair

7. Discussion Items

7.1. Parks and Recreation Re-Opening Phase Update - Sonya Delgado, Director of Parks & Recreation

7.2. 4th of July Update - Phil Catanach, Recreation Services Administrator

7.3. Projects Update - Cathy Mathews, Landscape Architect/Parks & Recreation

8. Staff Member Comments

9. Board Member Comments

10. Adjournment

If you need an accommodation for a disability to enable you to fully participate in this event, please contact us 72 hours before the event at 541-2550.

The City of Las Cruces does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of services.

Posted: June 12, 2020
The Parks and Recreation Advisory Board meeting was held February 20, 2020 at the Parks and Recreation Administrative Office Conference Room, 1501 E. Hadley, Las Cruces, NM.

PARKS & RECREATION ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
Eli Guzman
Cassie McClure
Stan Engle
Robert Harrison

PARKS & RECREATION ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:
Megan Schuller
Maryln Zahler
Eric Montgomery

OTHERS PRESENT:
Tony Trevino, CLC Public Works
Sreedevi Bhathala Mohanraj, CLC Public Works
Paul Dugie, Souder Miller & Associates
Joseph Fuemmeler, Desert Peaks Architecture
Miguel Cheng-Guaizado, ECM International, Inc.
Arturo Gonzalez, ECM International, Inc.
Gregory Shervanick, Resident
James Woods, CLC KLCB
Alexis Ruiz, FC Grande
Gerry & Kathy Rittenberry, Citizen
Rich & Dorothy Robinson, Citizen
Loretta M. Reyes, Las Cruces Tennis Players Association
Ed Carnathan, Visit Las Cruces
Mike Heard, Highland Enterprise
Mark Hettinga Highland Enterprise
Ruben Contreras, Desert Peaks Architecture
Anniz Barva, Las Cruces Tennis Players Association
Ceci Vasconcellos, CLC Community Engagement
Rebecca Courtney, City Art Board
Lorenzo Zepeda, Quality of Life, Public Art
Hazel Nevarez, CLC Parks and Recreation
Wilfred Martinez, CLC, Parks & Recreation
Robert Nunez, CLC, Parks & Recreation
Franco Granillo, CLC, Parks & Recreation
Cathy Mathews, CLC, Parks & Recreation
Sonya Delgado, CLC, Parks & Recreation
David Maestas, CLC Public Works
The meeting was called to order at 6:05 p.m. by Chair Harrison and recognized as having a legal quorum.

1. Introductions

Board members introduced themselves and stated the district they represent. Staff introduced themselves and stated their position. Public present introduced themselves.

2. Conflict of Interest

No Conflict of Interest.

3. Approval of Agenda

Mr. Engle moved to move action item 7.1 to come after Public Participation, and discussion item 8.2 to follow the moved item 7.1, seconded by Ms. McClure. No discussion, motion carried unanimously.

4. Approval of Minutes

Ms. McClure moved to approve the minutes of January 16, 2020 as presented, seconded by Mr. Guzman. Motion carried unanimously.

5. Public Participation

Mr. Samuel Ramos introduced himself and said he was present to inform the Board he filed a complaint December 2018 with Parks and Recreation Director Ms. Sonya Delgado because the Las Cruces Youth Baseball Association (LCYBA) failed to address a discrimination issue that took place against his daughter, who had placed two years t-ball, moved up to coach pitch baseball, and was removed from that team by the coaches. He said he filed a complaint with LCYBA, and they didn’t address it. He said later on, LCYBA said they have no jurisdiction as to what teams do between the end of summer baseball and beginning of fall (season) ball. With that noted by the league, and Ms. Delgado knew about that, Ms. Delgado said she had a new agreement that would solve that loophole in which LCYBA didn’t have jurisdiction over what players happened or what coaches can do. September 1, 2019, came to a meeting, the agreement was going to be given to the Board, Ms. Delgado tabled that discussion stating she needed to conduct more research. January 2020, Mr. Ramos received another copy of the agreement, same copy that he got September 1, 2019, no changes were made after his review. Since no changes were made, he asked Ms. Delgado how she was going to fix the loophole of the discrimination issue, also to mention it could be a discrimination against a boy with a disability where the team can get rid of a boy with a disability issue. Ms. Delgado said once the agreement comes back from legal, she would address it with the league. Mr. Ramos said his issue is if LCYBA didn’t address the issue two years ago with him or with her, so why leave it up to the league to address an issue she can fix via
the agreement. The loophole is very clear, and Ms. Delgado knows what the loophole is. LCYBA stated as to what the loophole is which is the no jurisdiction clause. Mr. Ramos said he feels Parks and Recreation and Ms. Delgado needs to step up and fix the loophole so it doesn’t happen anymore int the future. It’s been a year and a half and who knows if it has happened to another little girl or a boy with a disability. Mr. Ramos thanked the Board for their time.

7.1 Action Item GO Bond Projects/Sports Courts – David Maestas and Tony Trevino/Public Works Department

Mr. David Maestas of the Public Works Department informed the Board being presented to them as a result of the GO Bond project voted on by voters in August 2018, is a preliminary idea of what is going to take place with the Parks and Sports Courts and will then ask the Board for their approval to move forward with what is presented after input and comments received at this meeting.

Mr. Maestas introduced Mr. Tony Trevino, Engineer and Architect Program Manager overseeing all of the GO Bond projects, Ms. Sreedevi Mohanraj, City Architect working closely with Mr. Trevino on the projects, ECM International, Inc. was hired as Program Manager to oversee each of the Go Bond projects - Mr. Arturo Gonzalez and Mr. Miguel Cheng-Guajardo, Mr. Paul Dugie of Souder Miller & Associates – design portion of the firm, Mr. Mark Hettinga of Highland Enterprise, contractor who is taking care of the construction part (design build) of this project.

Mr. Tony Trevino began the presentation with some background on GO Bond and what progressed to the present.

Mr. Paul Dugie presented on:

- Hillrise Basketball Court - the court is in good condition. Proposal is to repair the cracks (milling and filling in the cracks or remove and replace – the crack goes all the way thru and there is a big differential), sand it all down to make it nice and smooth, and then restripe the basketball court.
- Metro Verde – this park has a ponding problem. Proposal is to remove existing surface, add some height and some drainage to stop water from ponding on the court; put sport surfacing back down and restripe the court.
- Four Hills area – basketball court in good condition but has a ponding problem. Proposal is to repair cracks, remove and replace an area where the concrete is crumbling, and restripe the court.
- Apodaca Park – on northwest side of the park, there is a playground that is out in the sun all the time - proposal is to put a shade over that area. Proposal for the pickleball courts is to increase the size of the court adding concrete onto the sides to allow for the creation of eight pickleball courts, remove and relocate fence, remove and relocate light post, repair cracks, resurface and restripe.
- Benavidez Community Center basketball court – all cracks were measured. Recommending totally removing and replacing with a five to six-inch slab
reinforcing rebars inside if funding is available. If no funding, will repair cracks as best as possible and restripe.

- Lions Park – will repair cracks, repaint (match existing) and restripe.
- El Cardon basketball court – recommendation is to remove and replace but if no funds, will repair cracks and restripe.
- Klein Park basketball court – a lot of cracks so recommend to remove and replace, if not, repair and restripe.
- Young Park – propose to remove and replace big group shelter and replace as many of the single shelters as possible - removing the concrete slab so starting from the ground up with a good structure. For the tennis courts, propose to remove the existing surface, repair the cracks, resurface, and restripe.
- Frank O’Brien Papen Community Center – basketball has a little bit of cracking but looks like it has other problems. Recommending to remove and replace if funds are available – if not, will repair cracks and restripe.

Ms. Kathy Rittenberry said after the bond passed, at an initial meeting, they were proposing that Apodaca and Frenger would be changed to pickleball courts. Mr. Maestas said Frenger was never included in the verbiage for GO Bond so this would have to be done separately from this project. Ms. Rittenberry added when they were trying to divide up the tennis courts and the pickleball courts at that time, the reason Young stayed as a tennis court park was because Frenger was in the picture and so now, why wouldn’t Young be for pickleball. Ms. Delgado responded Frenger was discussed as a possibility for pickleball courts if there was enough money, but right now there isn’t. She said there is a possibility to add Frenger now, because Parks and Recreation was approached by a group who wants to put on a basketball tournament at one of Parks and Recreation’s facilities that has an outdoor basketball court, and part of that would be that they would redo the court. Ms. Delgado said staff is in the process to see whether they can make that happen. If it happens, Frenger can be added back online in place of one of the basketball courts. Ms. Rittenberry said wouldn’t it be better to use Young Park as pickleball. Ms. Delgado said Young Park was never discussed in the initial conversation as being pickleball. Ms. Rittenberry said Young Park wasn’t discussed because Frenger was in the picture. Ms. Delgado reiterated that because Young Park wasn’t in the initial conversation, it can’t be added. Ms. Delgado said she is fairly confident to make it work with this other organization, and in saying that, then that money would be able to go to Frenger. To clarify what was chosen and not, Mr. Maestas added that they are going off of the breakdown that was provided as part of the GO Bond packet before it was voted on and need to stick to that because that is what the citizens of Las Cruces voted and approved. The main focus is to put in place those items that were approved by the voters in August of 2018. Mr. Maestas said one thing that can be done, and the reason for these types of meetings, is to get input from the crowd to find out what people would like to see. This is done for two reasons: 1) For the construction of this, Klein and Young will be pushed back towards the end of construction if possible so if Sonya can get Klein taken care of through other funding sources, this would allow opportunity to put in something different. 2) A couple of years from now, there is another opportunity for another bonding cycle, which means can go back to the voters to see what else they would like to see. Ms. Rittenberry says it looks like a tennis court is being left at Apodaca.
She was advised that is what is there now; there will be sixteen pickleball courts there. Mr. Maestas said there is also going to be pickleball courts at the East Mesa Recreation Complex as part of this GO Bond. Ms. Delgado clarified there will be eight total pickleball courts at Apodaca. Ms. Rittenberry said what they were told at the last meeting, was that when the court was resurfaced, it would be resurfaced as a sports court and not paint with grit on it. It was said the surface would be sports court surface recommended by the USTA. Mr. Maestas said it will not be a concrete surface with paint on it. Ms. Rittenberry asked if the information on the surface be sent to her and she was told the USTA manual would be sent to her. Mr. Trevino said to keep in mind, as Mr. Dugie said, a lot of these courts will be repair or total reconstruction based on funding. The amount of sport cushion for all these projects will be determined by the budget across all the projects. Ms. Rittenberry asked if the design, the architects, if they have done other pickleball court facilities. (Not sure who was spoke) but he said he built tennis courts before.

Ms. Loretta Reyes, representing Las Cruces Tennis Players Association, expressed their concern over converting any other tennis courts to pickleball courts considering that there will be new pickleball courts at the East Mesa Complex. She said this was decided, discussed early in the process with the two groups together. She said she wanted to make sure that they maintain the twelve courts at Lions and the two courts at Young for the purpose of having their leagues and things like that. Her other concerns are, listed for Lions are repair cracks, restripe – she asked if there would be any resurfacing because they were led to believe there would be complete resurfacing. She said there are dead spots, there are areas where the water sits. She said five, six, seven years ago, cracks were repaired, and courts were restriped, but they are coming apart now, are unsafe. She doesn’t think that repairing a crack is going to do it. Her concern is that if another band aid is put on, how long is it going to last. It isn’t the proper way to deal with what is out there. They were led to believe the courts would be resurfaced. Not sure who responded, but response was the original estimate for these GO Bond projects, the four different projects that are in this question, was split up equally among the projects. Based on the estimates before, need to see how to adequately improve all these courts. Ms. Reyes said she understands budget, but if there was some kind of priority on some of these projects – the tennis community has waited a long time to have a nice facility. She doesn’t understand the priority, to repair cracks is just putting another band aid. Mr. Maestas said because of her point being brought up, this is what helps with the prioritization. Mr. Maestas said this is the initial proposal, not the final proposal. There is opportunity now to look based on comments received, based on fact there may be other money from other places to help out to see how to move forward. Ms. Reyes was also wondering if there are plans to further address the birds on the light covers, if this would be taken care before the courts are done, or will heavy machinery be brought on courts to address after they are done.

Ms. Briana Ramos asked on Youngs Park the pond will be refilled with water. Ms. Delgado said the pond was filled over the summer. Mr. Ramos said he doesn’t mean to offend anybody, he comes from a community of soccer, baseball, and softball. He said the discussion in the families is what is pickleball, how does that help the youth in Las Cruces, is that traditionally an adult sport or a youth sport? Because in the City, we need
to gravitate to the youth instead of them not having facilities to go to and use so they
don’t gravitate to the other side, crime and other juvenile delinquent hacks. How can it
help the youth in the City? Meerscheidt Recreation Center is the only indoor facility for
our children. He said this is just a comment, look at how are facilities going to help our
community with our youth, a place to go. Even having tournaments, basketball and
soccer, brings in revenue to the City. We don’t have big soccer tournaments; we don’t
have the facilities yet. We don’t have basketball tournaments because we don’t have the
facilities yet. A lot of our organizations go out of town for these tournaments and provide
those communities with our money. Mr. Maestas said the voters agreed with Mr. Ramos
so the Bond in place, some of that is for the East Mesa Recreation complex to have
soccer fields and multiuse fields. There will also be baseball fields put in as part of the
project. There have been some public meeting and will be some more.

Ms. Reyes said it was mentioned that the budget is still being looked at to see how far it
will go and asked if that information will be brought back to the public. Mr. Maestas said
it is the intention if there are comments brought forth that are very different than what
is in the design, to give people the information back as to modifications, if there can be
any, or if there can’t be any. Whether it be done in a meeting depends on how simple
it is. If response is just yes, it will be resurfaced instead of cracks, then an email
notification would be sent.

Ms. Reyes said it is important to know when design and construction happens in advance
as affects their tournaments, there are USTA rules. Response was schedule can be
provided and can be sent to and obtained from Ms. Delgado.

Mr. Arturo Gonzalez said an idea just surfaced. On surfacing of tennis courts and
pickleball, there is ultimate surfacing, which is cushioned, there is professional surfacing
which is less cushion, and there is paint with grip. He asked what would be preferred,
four courts with good surfacing or eight courts with less surfacing.

Mr. Maestas thanked everyone for their input and referred to the Board for action to move
forward with the project based on the discussion of potential review and modifications
that might be had.

Ms. McClure asked if the Board would review modifications? Chair Harrison said or can
table. Chair Harrison noted and doesn’t think question was answered, but was it listed
in the priority or was it just listed as went thru and put them all down. Don’t know who
spoke, but response was all was received in request for proposal was list of projects and
have not heard any priorities.

Chair Harrison said the two groups here tonight is the most heartfelt and need to be
listened to in the aspect of funding. He asked if in previous public meetings, if basketball
groups were there as well. Mr. Maestas said the listing of the projects or the locations
for the work were what was part of the GO Bond package. He said with respect to having
the meetings after and getting it to here, this is the first meeting on these courts so the
participation that is seen at this meeting is where the priority is. Mr. Engle clarified, in
this case, it seems basketball would get almost no priority considering public input was heavily stacked towards tennis and pickleball. Ms. Rittenberry asked how many basketball courts are in the City. Mr. Granillo said 18 to 20 courts are maintained throughout the City, spread out thru different parks, and are not in a facility. Ms. Rittenberry asked if they are in the schools too. Mr. Maestas said there are basketball courts in schools, but the City doesn’t have any jurisdiction, and tennis courts as well. They are not open for public use.

Ms. Anniz Barva, tennis player, previous Las Cruces Tennis Player’s Board member, and involved in youth tennis programs, said they have a series of things coming up and asked for general ball park idea of when the repair and repainting of Lions Park might happen so they have a general idea for future planning. Mr. Maestas asked her to turn her schedule in to Ms. Delgado so can plan around her schedule.

Mr. Engle asked, for clarification, action is being asked so City can begin to prioritize and start the work or will staff come back to the Board with priorities that need action. Mr. Maestas deferred back to the Board, if Board wants staff to come back with options based on input received.

Mr. Guzman asked if the only organizations here are pickleball and tennis. His thing is that if organizations really want to see change, they should be brought to the Board meeting. Mr. Gerry Rittenberry said this is the sixth or seventh meeting on these issues and there were other meetings so is wondering how this is new news to the Board. Mr. Guzman just clarified the Board meets every month, and the organizations aren’t present to discuss these issues. Ms. Rittenberry said if Mr. Guzman is asking to see these people at the Board meetings, let her know. Mr. Maestas clarified there are two different meetings. The meetings being referred to are specific to the East Mesa Recreation Complex and those type of items, not the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board Meeting. This is the first-time staff was ready to come to the Board with the project. Mr. Rittenberry said pickleball and tennis was talked about along the stream, and notes are taken and wonders who reads those notes. He referred to different meetings that were held and votes were taken, and said his curiosity is who talks to whom. Mr. Maestas clarified that is the reason for coming to the Board at this meeting, because now have the magnitude with the projects coming forward and the groups that are interested. Chair Harrison said in specifics, the previous meetings were specific to all the GO Bond, and tonight is specific to courts, and the groups tonight are the people who would be willing to moving this forward. Ms. Rittenberry said tonight’s presentation is different than what was proposed before and that is the problem. Ms. Reyes said the last meeting she recalls attending was at the library and this is the first time presenting to a Board that has some decision making authority and is hearing for the first time, that only cracks will be filled in, and coming to a Board to move forward. For her, this is disappointing. Ms. Delgado said the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board members know when there are meetings, they can’t come to all of them. When it comes to the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board, it comes as information for them to absorb, and then comes back for action. Her suggestion is to take the information received tonight back to the program manager for
review, and then bring it back to the Board, with specific information, addressing the
tennis and pickleball concerns, and for action.

Mr. Rich Robinson said there was a consultant from El Paso at one of the meetings and
said these courts were going to be professional grade courts. Mr. Sedillo said it appears
to be some confusion on the different meetings being held. When professional courts
were discussed, it was for the East Mesa Recreation Sports Complex. There was an
immediate disagreement response. Mr. Sedillo informed the Board, the previous
meetings held was for informational input from the public – the project is called a sports
court rehabilitation. After input was gathered from all the projects, the design team went
to the courts to see what could be fixed, what could be adjusted, to make the courts
playable. It was never agreed upon as to what kind of surfacing, what kind of
construction, or any of that in the meetings. This is the first time coming together to see
what can be done to accomplish the goal. Mr. Robinson said he wasn’t quite finished
with his comment, and said to the Board, if they want to see the support for pickleball in
this retirement community, hold the next meeting in a gym. There is a huge pickleball
community in this town and in the state. Mr. Robinson referred back to the consultant
from El Paso. Mr. Gonzales spoke up saying he was the consultant from El Paso. He
said in tonight’s presentation, the fences are moving out, they are adding surface. The
main issue that was brought in the meeting being referred to is that those courts were
too small, recreational size. The next step is what they call professional – which means
they add two more feet. The ones at East Mesa, two courts will be championship size
and the other ones will be pro. The budget is very tight. There is only $1.5 million to do
the construction for all the projects. This meeting is to find which direction to go.

Chair Harrison thanked everyone for the presentation and input.

Ms. McClure moved to table the action of the sports courts until the next meeting to see
two different revisions that include as much as possible with profession grade options
and one to see what would be done with the money that we have, seconded by Mr.
Guzman.

Mr. Maestas asked if this could be tabled to a special meeting, don’t know date or time,
it would be dependent on review of the two specific situations, but once have that, can
get back to the Board with a date.

Ms. McClure moved to amend her motion to table the action of the sports courts to a
special meeting, with date and time publicized to the public and those present, to see
two different revisions that include as much as possible with profession grade options
and one to see what would be done with the money that we have, seconded by Mr.
Guzman.

Mr. Engle said we aren’t going to magically have more money the next time the Board
meets and understands a priority isn’t known yet and Board is just being informed of a
general priority. Mr. Sedillo’s response was they will come back and define what will be
removed to accommodate some stuff. Mr. Engle clarified, if this is tabled, then there
would be a more specific outline of how to proceed with the rehabilitation. Mr. Maestas there will be a specific outline to address the concerns that were brought forward. He added there will be opportunity to know status of Klein being paid for which would allow for additional funding.

Motion carried unanimously.

8.2 GO Bond Project/Hadley Complex – Tony Trevino/Public Works Department (Informational)

Mr. Tony Trevino informed the Board the Hadley Sports Courts Complex (Hadley, Maag, Hardy and Paz) are also to be rehabilitated as part of the GO Bond project, design build.

Joseph Fuemmeler, Desert Peak Architects introduced himself and Ruben Contreras, project manager as being part of the design build team along with Highland Enterprises as the prime. He said today is the first public meeting. Today will talk about site conditions and ask about priorities from the public. He said the lighting has been received from the City as the major priority and everything else falls under that as a possible. First thing is to decide on cost and get input from the public.

Mr. Ruben Contreras provided information on the conditions of Maag ballfield complex, Jim Hardy, Ron Galla T-Ball complex, and Paz ballfield complex.

- Maag dugouts – water damage to sheeting, structure shows signs of rust, missing covers on some of the receptacles. Foul ball protection is chicken wire with holes in some locations and rusted. Spectator shade in good condition. Restroom facilities well maintained but accessibility issues. Concession facilities a bit dated and cramped, non-accessible, need for floor drains, point of sales dated. Exterior conditions fair to poor. Wood siding starting to exhibit cracks in the paint with signs of rot, stucco failing in various locations, water soaking up into wall. There are some drainage issues.
- Jim Hardy dugouts – fencing minimal rusting. Some wood sheeting exhibits water damage. Structure shows rusting. Foul ball protection is non-existent. Shade structure – variation in color to the fabrics, fabric is fair to good condition with some small holes, minimal rusting. Facilities – worst of all complexes. Bathrooms well maintained, issues to exhaust fans, hardware on doors starting to fall off. Room to make these bathrooms accessible with exception to women’s restroom, which would require quite a bit of rearranging. Concession facilities – worst of all the ball fields. Structure has some corrosion, water infiltration from the ceiling caused by the evaporative cooler, shows a lot of calcium deposits dripping to floor. There is limited space in the concession, point of sale is boarded up and unusable. Exterior conditions – staining water on top floor which is what is causing the rust on the sealed deck. Paint on ceiling block is starting to
chip, not bonding quite well. Stucco is failing in various locations. Light fixtures are dated.

- Paz – fairly good condition. On Dugouts, there is some rusting in the steel structure, very minimal on the fence. Chicken wire foul ball protection appearing to rust. Spectator shade had a few areas with rips, some water pooling. Structure could use some paint touchup to fix some of the rusting issues. There was one bent pole. Restroom facilities need to comply with accessibility standards, missing exhaust fan, some openings in the ceiling. Concession facilities had some failures in some of the finished – holes in the ceiling, limited space, some minor discoloration in the paint. Exterior has a few instances of stucco failure around the corners and some penetrations within the stucco. Trim around the openings are a bit dated and could be replaced.

- Ron Gall T-Ball – dugout steel structure is exhibiting rust in some locations. Chain link fence post has some rust around the wells. Spectator shading good to fair with some small holes. Steel structure could use some paint touchups. Restroom facilities could use a few minor adjustments to make them accessible.

In summary, Maag ballfield complex is in fair condition but concessions are inadequate, restrooms with minor accessibility issues. Exterior conditions were not good. For the Jim Hardy ballfield complex would take extensive work to make restrooms accessible, concessions were in poor condition. Paz ballfield complex needs some minor exterior improvements, restroom facilities need to comply with accessibility standards, concessions were in fairly good condition, spectator shade only has a few areas that could use some improvement. Ron Gall t-ball fields, restroom fields well maintained, spectator shade could use some improvement.

Mr. Contreras opened the floor for discussion.

Mr. Maestas emphasized lighting is the biggest thing for all four complexes, which will take a big chunk of the money. He said the improvements of those lights will be substantial.

Chair Harrison asked if there would be any architectural design to see what this will look like in the future. Mr. Trevino said next step is to do a feasibility.

6. Old Business

6.1 Parks and Recreation Overview Work Session (Date) – Chair Harrison

6.2 Future Board Meeting Dates, Times and Locations – Chair Harrison
Ms. McClure moved to table Parks and Recreation Overview Work Session and Future Board Meeting Dates, Times and Locations to the March 19 Board meeting, seconded by Mr. Engle. Motion carried unanimously.

7. Action Items

7.1 Go Bond Project/Sports Courts – Tony Trevino/Public Works Department

7.2 Public Art Master Plan – Lorenzo Zepeda/Quality of Life Department

Mr. Lorenzo Zepeda presented to the Board the Public Art Master regarding how it would affect Parks and Recreation. He said there is a considerable amount of overlap between what this new program intends to do and what Parks has currently been doing. He provided the Board with a handout, Standard Commissioning Process Chart. He said there is an extensive collection of current City owned public art pieces which were completely maintained by Parks and Recreation, installed in park locations. With the establishment of the Las Cruces Public Art has and the Master Plan going toward resolution, he is here to share the Public Art Master Plan with the Board. He informed the Board most of their initiatives will be driven by the City Art Board, with their goal to commission art. The way the Public Art Program should be functioning is they take the space that needs art into account and create something that integrates with that space. How the commissioning process will work was outlined in the handout provided, Standard Commissioning Process Chart. Mr. Zepeda said the main thing about the Public Art Program is that it will no longer be required to be maintained by Parks and Recreation. He said after the Resolution for the Master Plan, they will be going to Ordinance and when this happens, they will have their own budget to maintain existing art works as well as upcoming artwork. The relationship with Parks and Recreation will continue because their goal is to put art in public property which happens to be in parks most of the time. Mr. Zepeda said the extent of the relationship with parks will be vetting projects thru parks, making sure that everything they are recommending is viable, the funding will come from Las Cruces Public Art.

Mr. Engle clarified, the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board’s role would be just if a public art piece would be going in a park property. Response was yes.

Chair Harrison asked if any of the art projects were on the GO Bonds going thru. Ms. Delgado said the art projects with regard to GO Bond are for buildings, i.e. the new animal shelter, the new aquatics facility. Mr. Zepeda said as far as funding goes, they are looking at a Capital Improvement Program (CIP), 2%, but it still needs to go to Ordinance. They are also hoping that includes all vertical structures in additional to public safety impact fees and park impact fees.

Mr. Zepeda said a huge goal of the Public Art Program is place making, creating a visual identity for the City of Las Cruces, as well as making it more visually easier to navigate. That will include opportunities for art like landmark pieces. Mr. Zepeda said they also
want to find other opportunities thru community collaboration and partnerships. They want to consider a problematic element – educating in the arts, creating community engagement art projects. They want to help make these programs are more long standing, more established, and create opportunities for local artists to have work. The last component of the Master Plan is to professionalize our local pool of artists.

Ms. McClure asked how the selection committee would be picked. Mr. Zepeda said the selection is an Ad Hoc group, separate from the Art Board. Depending on project, they want to include an owner, a user, an once Art Board member - the Committee would be made up of the actual stakeholders. He said they also hope to add some public input sessions.

Mr. Zepeda introduced Ms. Rebecca Courtney, Vice Chair for the City Art Board.

Chair Harrison asked if this had already been approved by the Art Board. Ms. Courtney said it had and was taken to council who made several recommendations about art selection committees and about the Art Board itself, they wanted to make sure a diverse population was included. Ms. Courtney said wording was changed in the category of City Art Board duties and art selection committees to address Council's concerns.

Ms. McClure moved to approve the Public Art Master Plan to go to City Council, seconded by Mr. Engle. Motion carried unanimously.

7.3 Special Meeting/East Mesa Recreational Complex (March 9) – Sonya Delgado

Ms. Delgado asked the Board to have a special meeting for the East Mesa Recreational Complex and the dog park to allow the Board to view concepts. This will be informational, not for action. Ms. Delgado said there will also be a public input meeting March 17, and when the concept is refined, will come back to the Board for action in April.

Ms. McClure moved to approve the special meeting for March 9, 2020, seconded by Mr. Engle. Motion carried unanimously.

8. Discussion Items

8.1 Keep Las Cruces Beautiful (KLCB) – James Woods

Mr. James Woods informed the Board on a few KLCB highlights from 2019:

- Mr. Woods received for the fifth consecutive year the Presidents Circle Award. There are about 30 affiliates in the State of New Mexico and only seven programs throughout the state received this award.
- With all the cleanup groups (Team Up to Clean Up, Great American Clean Up, Toss No Mas, Adopt a Spot, Youth Cleanups, other various community service clean ups), they have over 4,000 volunteers, and have collected over 53 tons of trash (from boots on the ground and roll off containers).
- Education Elements:
o 1,100 presentations to third graders – Libby presentations educating on recycling, litter, graffiti, illegal dumping.
o Activities with over 400 students in the City’s After School Program educating them about the environment.
• Graffiti crew abated over 400 locations, from dumpsters to light poles, walls.
• Upcoming events for 2020:
o March 21, Team Up To Clean Up, District 6.
o April 11, Great American Clean Up
o April 16, Water Festival
o April 18, Earth Day, Recycle Reuse Fashion Show
o April 25, Volunteer Fair

8.2GO Bond Project Hadley Complex — Tony Trevino/Public Works Department

9. Staff Member Comments

Mr. Phil Catanach invited the Board to the Chaos Collaboration Art show February 21 and 22, 2020 at the Frank O’Brien Papen Community Center.

Mr. Phil Catanach informed the Board, Saturday, February 29, 2020 is the first Father Daughter dance at the Frank O’Brien Papen Community Center.

Mr. Robert Nunez informed the Board spring semester is in full swing with their Out of School time program and will be meeting with the Las Cruces Public Schools the first week of March to solidify sites for summer programs. He said things are going very well with Youth Services.

Mr. Franco Granillo said Parks section are wrapping up their winter projects list. He informed the Board the IPM Ad Hoc committee has met twice now and is going very well, with communications open. Should have a good IPM document in June to present to City Council.

Ms. Cathy Mathews mentioned two projects:
• Play equipment for Benavidez Community Center – now have a contract on board and will be having a preconstruction conference beginning in March, construction to start mid-March. Should have new play equipment end of March, beginning of April.
• Metro Verde on Saracco Road near intersection with Sonoma Ranch Blvd. – there is a brand new park (working title called The Tower Park) has reached substantial completion, have gone thru punch list, have a couple of more items to address and then the park will be open to the public.

Ms. Delgado informed the Board regarding the Klein Park basketball court, a gentleman has come forward who wants to have a tournament at Klein Park. He is working with a sponsor to redo the entire basketball court (tear it all apart and put a brand new one
down that is regulation), to include new goals. If this happens, will shift dollars to another project in the GO Bond. He wants to have the tournament in August.

Ms. Delgado informed the Board we have an agreement with Beck’s Coffee. Mr. Nunez informed the Board a sponsorship was created with Beck’s Coffee and our Youth programs. The students from our youth programs created the art work and allowed them to be involved with the writeup regarding A. Fielder Memorial Safe Haven – A. Fielder Memorial Safe Haven will get $5 from every coffee bag sold to go towards our youth program.

Ms. Delgado informed the Board two monuments are coming into Veteran’s Park, the Gold Star Memorial and the Wall of Honor. The Wall of Honor is first and The Gold Star Memorial will be in May. There is a vendor that will take care of the slab for the Wall of Honor and park staff will do the one for the Gold Star Memorial.

Ms. Delgado informed the Board there is a Unidad Park Go Bond meeting February 22, 10 a.m. to noon at Unidad Park. Parks and Recreation sent out an email to all program participants, this was also put in newspaper, and signage at the park. Ms. Delgado said this will be the first input meeting for that park. She said there will be a couple of other meetings, and as it gets close to the end and read to role out, it will come thru this Board. Ms. McClure added it is on social media and said the phrasing was good.

Ms. Delgado recapped, she said talked to the Public Works team, and staff is going to take the lead to set up a meeting with he pickleball players and the tennis players, to set up a mini charette with them.

Ms. Delgado informed the Board league agreements are still with Legal. She did email the Board, there is language in the Fees & Charges saying the Board will have authority over the leagues. This will be going to Council soon.

Ms. Nevarez asked the Board that when she sends them the Agenda for the Board meeting, if they could please notify her at that time if they will be in attendance so she knows if there will be a quorum.

10. Board Member Comments

Ms. McClure asked if there was any way to put seating (bench) at Meerscheidt in the back hallway where the practice rooms are. Ms. Delgado said she will check on this.

11. Adjournment

Ms. McClure moved to adjourn meeting, seconded by Mr. Engle. Motion carried unanimously. Chair Harrison adjourned the meeting at 8:23 p.m.
East Mesa Recreation Complex
Master Plan
Parks and Recreation Advisory Board Meeting
Meeting Agenda

• Introduction to Project
• Summary of Public Meetings/Options for Complex Development
• Presentation of Draft Master Plan
• Next Steps
Planned Improvements

- Field Sports: Soccer/Football, Baseball
- Court Sports: Pickleball
- Amenities: Concessions, Restrooms, Food Truck Court, Plaza
- Park: Traditional Park
- Transportation: Trails, Entry Roads, Parking

- Budget from GO Bonds:
  - $6.5 million for the complex
  - $2 million for the entry road
General Complex Location
Opportunities Constraints

Constraints

Opportunities
Public Meetings

• 3 Public Meetings
  • Initial: Provided Background and Team Listened
  • Second: Workshop Style
  • Third: Presented 3 Options

From Workshop
Public Meeting Comments

- Site Access: vehicular, pedestrian, bicycle
- Safety
- Maintain Views
- Shield Lighting
- Include Perimeter Trail: multi-use, bike, walking
- Incorporate Amphitheater
- Include Picnic Areas
- Include Splash Pads
- Use Sustainable Development Practices: solar, wind, water harvesting
- Food Truck Court
- Include Shade, Trees
- What About Wi-Fi
- How About Edible landscape (fruit trees, community garden)
- Provide Concessions
3 Options of the Master Plan

• Option 1 – Paseo
• Option 2 – Greenway
• Option 3 – Parque
“Paseo”
Option (1)

- 4-Sport Fields
- 8-Pickle ball courts
- Future baseball fields
- Future expansion
- 10-Acre Park (Detailed program will be developed in next design stage)
- 1 Acre fenced maintenance yard with covered area or shed (2 AC total)
- Future expansion sport fields
- Future baseball fields
- Future expansion 8-pickle ball courts
“Greenway”
Option (2)

1. WATER RESERVOIR
2. EAST MESA PUBLIC SAFETY COMPLEX
3. SONORA SPRING BLVD
4. GRAVEL YARD
5. 4-SPORT FIELDS
6. 8-PICKLE BALL COURTS
7. 4-BASEBALL FIELDS W/ WARM-UP AREAS FOR PITCHERS & BATTERS (ONE FIELD W/ BLEACHER)
8. 10-ACRE PARK (DETAILED PROGRAM WILL BE DEVELOPED IN NEXT DESIGN STAGE)
9. 1 ACRE FENCED MAINTENANCE YARD W/ COVERED AREA OR SHED (2 AC TOTAL)
10. FUTURE EXPANSION SPORT FIELDS
11. FUTURE BASEBALL FIELDS
12. FUTURE EXPANSION 8-PICKLE BALL COURTS
“Greenway” Option (2) - Images
“Parque” Option (3)

- 4-Sport Fields
- 8-Pickle Ball Courts
- 4-Baseball Fields with warm-up areas for pitchers & batters (one field with bleacher)
- 10-Acre Park / Parque (Detailed program will be developed in next design stage)
- 1 Acre fenced maintenance yard with covered area or shed (2 AC total)
- Future expansion sport fields
- Future baseball fields
- Future expansion 8-pickle ball courts
“Parque” Option (3) - Precedents
Preferred Plan

- 4-Sport Fields
- 8-Pickle Ball Courts
- 4-Baseball Fields w/ Warm-Up Areas for Pitchers & Batters (one field w/ Bleacher
- 10-Acre Park / Parque (Detailed Program Will Be Developed in Next Design Stage)
- 1 Acre Fenced Maintenance Yard w/ Covered Area or Shed (2 AC Total)
- Future Expansion Sport Fields
- Future Baseball Fields
- Future Expansion 8-Pickle Ball Courts

Section Main Entry Road w/ Biozone Green Alley - N.T.S.
Complex Cross-Section

Cross-Section from Neighborhood
Phase I

• Field Sports
  • Soccer/Football/Multiuse (2 probable)
  • Baseball (2 probable)

• Court Sports
  • Pickleball (8)

• Amenities
  • Concessions/Restrooms (2 probable)

• Park
  • Traditional Park (10 acre)

• Infrastructure
  • Trails, Entry Roads, Parking, lighting, walkways, etc...
Next Steps

• Gather Comments from PRAB
• Hold Fourth Public Meeting with City Council and Mayor (July 6, 2020) to Discuss the Final Master Plan Layout
• Begin Schematic Design of the Project (June, 2020)
• Complete Plans and Bid Project in Winter 2020/2021
THANK YOU!